City of Worcester Drainage & Green Infrastructure Master Plan



A Plan to Mitigate Flooding in Worcester

Presentation to the Green Worcester Advisory Committee February 3, 2025

Frank Occhipinti, PE Principal Kara Keleher, PE Project Manager Will Blais H&H Modeling

Janet Moonan, PE Green Infrastructure





Agenda



- Introduction
- Drainage system
- H&H Modeling
- Green Infrastructure / Nature-based Solutions







Introduction





Flooding in Worcester



Highland Street, August 2, 2017, 3:30 PM Photograph by Greg Doerchler



Railroad Bridge on Cambridge Street, July 17, 2018 Photograph from the Boston Globe







Projected Future Climate & Impacts

Precipitation amounts from the heaviest storms in the Northeast have increased by 55% since 1958.

The volume and intensity of rainfall events/storms are predicted to increase in intensity and duration.



By 2070, Worcester is projected to experience an additional **8 inches** of rainfall per year. (ResilientMass, 2023).







Existing Infrastructure and Climate Change

The majority of the City's existing drain infrastructure was constructed over 50 years ago.

The system was not constructed to manage the storms we experience now, never mind predicted future storms. In addition, and in that time, the City became more urbanized with increased impervious surfaces.







Worcester Drainage & Green Infrastructure Master Plan Objectives


- Continue the city's commitment to the stormwater system and an overall system improvement plan.
- Develop a Hydrologic/Hydraulic computer model (H&H Model) of the existing City owned closed pipe drainage infrastructure to identify areas of system capacity deficiencies.
- Select priority areas for alternatives analysis of both grey and green infrastructure improvements.
- Develop grey and green concepts for flood mitigation.



Roxbury Street, September 8, 2023, 230 PM Photograph by Greg Doerchler







Worcester Drainage & Green Infrastructure Master Plan Project Limits

- City-wide drainage master plan limits of work included:
 - East Watershed
 - West Watershed
 - Central North Watershed
 - Central South Watershed
- The Combined Sewer Area was not included in current analysis and is being studied under a separate project







Drainage System






What is a Drainage System

A drainage system is made up of:

- Drain Pipes
- Drain Manholes
- Catch Basins
- Outfalls
- Inlet Structures
- Open Channel/Streams
- Culverts







Worcester's Local Drainage System

Works & Parks manages:

- 374 miles of drain pipes
- 15,000 drain manholes
- 370 outfalls
- 44 culverts
- 29,000 catch basins







Drain Manholes and Catch Basins

- Drain manholes are structures that allow access to the drainage system for inspection and cleaning.
- Drain manholes are located where pipes change direction.



Catch Basins are structures with surface grates that collect stormwater from city streets







Outfall and Inlet Structure

An outfall is the location in the drainage system where stormwater exits the pipe network and discharges to a waterbody, wetland, drainage swale, or culvert.





An inlet structure is the location in the drainage system where stormwater enters the pipe network from a waterbody, wetland or drainage swale.








H&H Modeling





What is a H&H Model? (Two Major Components)

- Hydrologic Model is the development of stormwater runoff hydrographs for rain events entering the system.
- Hydraulic Model is the routing of runoff hydrographs thru the stormwater network system.
- Future phases of the Master Plan will include expanding the model to develop an existing conditions citywide H&H Model of tributary streams, open channels, and natural storage areas.








What is a Hydrograph

- A Hydrograph is a graph showing the rate of flow versus time past a specific component.
- A Runoff Hydrograph is a graph showing the rate of surface flow from a subcatchment.
- A Flow Hydrograph is a graph showing the rate of system flow in the drainage network accumulated from the input runoff hydrographs.







H&H Model Field Data Collection of Drainage System

- Weston & Sampson reviewed previous drainage studies, and the City drain GIS to identify missing system data needed for the H&H model:
 - manhole depths
 - pipe elevations
 - pipe size (diameter and shape)
 - pipe length
 - pipe material
- Weston & Sampson developed manhole inspection work plan to determine number of inspections required
- Weston & Sampson conducted 4,380 manhole inspections to establish pipe size and pipe invert elevations (over 4 months of field work with up to 5 crews)








H&H Model Hydrologic Data Collection

- Each of the 4 Major watersheds was split up into 48 subareas and 7,000+ subcatchments.
- Key Hydrologic data collected for each subcatchment include:
 - Area (AC)
 - Land Cover (Open Space/Wooded)
 - % Impervious Land Cover
 - Land Cover Slope
 - Soil Infiltration Rates
 - MassGIS layer features were utilized to collect the hydrologic data.








Worcester's H&H Model Metrics

H&H Model analyzed:

- 48 Subareas City Wide
- 7,280 Subcatchments
- 12,550 Total Acres (95%)
- 49 to 59% Impervious
- 12,250 Model Nodes (typically at drain manhole location)
- 370 Outfalls
- 315 Miles of Drain Pipe (85%)







H&H PCSWMM⁽¹⁾ Model Analysis Scenarios Completed


Rainfall Events Analyzed	Duration	Recurrence Interval	Total Rainfall (In)
NOAA Atlas 14	24 Hour	10 Year	4.90
	1 Hour	10 Year	1.67
2070 Projected Rainfall Climate Resilient Design Standards Tool	24 Hour	10 Year	6.60
	24 Hour	5 Year	5.60
Green Infrastructure Screening	6 Hour	2 Year	2.14

⁽¹⁾PCSWMM stands for Personal Computer Storm Water Management Model






H&H PCSWMM Model Results Existing Conditions Model Profile System Flooding







H&H PCSWMM Model Results Existing Conditions Model - System Deficiencies








Priority Locations for Alternatives Development

- The City selected four priority subareas out of forty-eight for detailed analysis and alternatives development.
- Criteria included observed severity and volume of flooding, community impacts, and proximity to Environmental Justice Communities.
 - West Sub 5
 - West Sub 9
 - Central North Sub 10
 - Central North Sub 4







Alternatives Development

Alternative models were created for:

- Present-day NOAA Atlas 14 10-Year 24-Hour rainfall of 4.9 inches.
- Projected 2070 10-Year 24-Hour rainfall of 6.6 inches.

Solutions considered include a combination of the following:

- Gray infrastructure such as Culvert Upgrades, Underground Storage, Drain Piping Upgrades, and Maintenance
- Green Infrastructure / Nature Based Solutions such as bioretention basins, swales, and tree box filters.











Grey Infrastructure Alternatives Sample – CN Sub 10

Present-day NOAA Atlas 14 10-Year 24-Hour Rainfall (4.9 inches) Flood Mitigation Alternative

- Upgrade approximately 9,300 feet of drain pipe ranging from 15-inch diameter to 60-inch diameter pipe
- Estimate cost is \$14.3 million for design and construction

2070 10-Year 24-Hour Rainfall (6.6 inches) Flood Mitigation Alternative Upgrade

- Approximately 9,800 feet of drain pipe ranging from 15-inch diameter pipe to 5-foot by 10-foot box culverts
- Estimate cost is \$27.7 million for design and construction







Mitigating Flooding through Grey Infrastructure Improvements





Photos: Large Diameter Drain Replacement in Fitchburg, MA, Weston & Sampson





Mitigating Flooding through Green Infrastructure



Worcester's Shore Park Rain Garden installed in mid-2010s



Rain garden installed in 2020 at Worcester Senior Center



Examples of tree pit filters installed







Green Infrastructure / Nature-based Solutions

- Flood reduction
- Water quality improvement
- Urban Heat Island reduction
- Ecological Habitat creation





Nature Based-Solutions Assessment





Review existing plans, reports of flooding, planned capital improvements (sidewalk / roadway / parcel redevelopment)



Desktop assessment looks at spatial data, reports of flooding problem areas, and 1D flood model results to identify priority areas to implement NBS



Sites are reviewed for topography, existing drainage infrastructure, and nearby parcel ownership to determine what types of NBS are best suited for the area



NBS are sized and approximate storage volume is calculated to input into the model and quantify flood reduction benefits



NBS are prioritized based on flood reduction benefits, ease / feasibility of implementation, and co-benefits





Goals for Screening

- Identify large-scale projects with ability to capture significant volumes of stormwater to provide flood reduction benefits across the subarea
- Identify medium- and small-scale projects with the ability to capture localized surface flows and mitigate nuisance flooding
- Projects strive to:
 - Be implementable
 - Be eligible for grant funding
 - Provide co-benefit
 - Community
 - Ecosystem
 - Climate




Photo: BMP Maintenance Training in Fitchburg, MA, Weston & Sampson





Overview of Identified Green Infrastructure Opportunities in Selected Priority Areas

Catchment ID	Percent Impervious	Number of Proposed Features	Proposed GI Footprint (acres)	Estimated Storage (cubic feet)
Central North 4	49%	63	2.70	168,480
West 5	36%	94	2.33	149,440
Central North 10	53%	25	0.58	27,980
West 9	66%	13	2.16	104,560
Sum	-	195	7.77	456,460







Example Project Sub-Area Central North 4







Burncoat Preparatory School

- Bioretention in corner of parking lot to intercept sheet flow
- Provide shade and learning opportunity at the school without removing blacktop space for playing





Example Project Sub-Area Central North 4



Burncoat Preparatory School

 Storage capacity for runoff from roof, parking lot and nearby flooding on Hastings Rd







Bioretention

Example Project Sub-Area Central N

Park Ave

- Existing grass strip
- Retrofit opportunity








What's Next / Looking Forward

Phase 2 Model Expansion

- Perform flow and/or level monitoring to calibrate model
- Expand to include open channels and water bodies
- Incorporate combined sewer area model
- Provide 2D flooding results
- Will be an integrated model to evaluate proposed solution implementation
- Will support future design efforts







What's Next / Looking Forward

Phase 2 Model Expansion

- Perform flow and/or level monitoring to calibrate model
- Expand to include open channels and water bodies
- Incorporate combined sewer area model
- Provide 2D flooding results
- Will be an integrated model to evaluate proposed solution implementation
- Will support future design efforts



Figure 11 – August 19, 2021 Calibration Model, System Surface Flooding Limits.





thank you



This project was funded in part by the Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs'
Municipal Vulnerability Preparedness (MVP) Grant program, which provides support for cities and towns to plan for climate change and to implement projects that build local resiliency

Frank Occhipinti, Weston & Sampson, <u>occhipif@wseinc.com</u>
Kara Keleher, Weston & Sampson, <u>keleherk@wseinc.com</u>
Will Blais, Weston & Sampson, <u>blaisw@wseinc.com</u>
Janet Moonan, Weston & Sampson, <u>Moonan.Janet@wseinc.com</u>



