

October 18, 2024

To All Bidders:

Subject: Bid No. 8292-M5, Elm Park Improvements – Pond Dredging / DPW&P

ADDENDUM NO. 1

To Whom It May Concern:

With reference to our bid request relative to the above subject, please refer to the changes/modifications/clarifications to the original proposal request.

Questions received by this office, with answers from the City:

1. Q: Based on our experience we would not recommend the use of a Truxor. If the pond area to be restored, under the iron bridge in its current dry state, we would use a mini-excavator. This would ensure contour and depth dimensions in engineer drawing. If the pond is back to its wet state, area under iron bridge under water, we would use a hydro-rake. Are these pieces of equipment acceptable as an alternative to the Truxor based on site conditions at time of project start?

A: Alternative mean and methods can be submitted for review and approval after award of bid.

2. Q: Based on the size of the two material dewatering areas expected to hold 200 cu yds of material, the use of a tarp with a staked filter sock would not hold the material from sliding back into the pond and could comprise the stone wall. Can we use ground protection mats to cover the two material dewater areas and use containers to place the material within. We would transport the sealed containers offsite each day during the project period. This approach would minimize ground disturbance and be a cleaner process.

A: Refer to Construction Sequence Notes #3 on Drawing Sheet C103. Alternative mean and methods can be submitted for review and approval after award of bid.

Bidders are requested to acknowledge and/or include this addendum with submission. All other terms, conditions and specifications remain unchanged.

Very truly yours,

Stephen R. McDonald Assistant Purchasing Director