Planning Board
Worcester, Massachusetts

Monday - June 22, 1970
12:00 Noon - Room 209
City Hall

Agenda

12:00 Noon - Regular Meeting - Room 209, City Hall

1. call to order
2. discussion re: proposed Zoning Ordinance Amendments for Planned Unit Development
3. any other business
4. adjournment
The meeting of the Worcester Planning Board came to order at 12:20 P.M. on Tuesday, June 22, 1970, in Room 209, City Hall.

Members present were Lloyd Anderson, Carl H. Koontz, Carlton B. Payson, and Philip A. Segel. Others present were Henry P. Grady, Charles Abdella, Gerard F. McNeil, Francis J. Donahue and Alexander A. Pridotkas.

Discussion on the proposed Zoning Ordinance Amendments for Planned Unit Development. Mr. McNeil opened the discussion. He pointed out that Attorney Grady had presented his report on the Planned Unit Development zoning amendment to the City Council last week and that this opinion had been read and referred to the Planning Board for a report by June 23, 1970. He summarized this opinion by saying that jurisdiction over the Planned Unit Development could not rest entirely with the Planning Board, that the Board of Appeals must maintain control. He presented for discussion a suggested revision of the proposed amendment which would eliminate the RP-X zone entirely and allow P.U.D.'s in RL-7 zones and RG-5 zones by Special Permit. He asked Mr. Grady if this summary was satisfactory.

Attorney Grady replied that this about summed up the situation, that the Board of Appeals was the only body to which authority on zoning questions could be delegated. He said that he had discussed the proposed revision with Mr. McNeil, and that this revision accomplished the same goals as the original proposal, except that final approval would now rest with the Board of Appeals. He pointed out that the Board of Appeals may call for examination, report, and recommendation by the Planning Board. He said that this method has been successfully used by other towns. He then submitted to the Planning Board members copies of the proposed revision.

Mr. McNeil observed that review by the Planning Board was no longer mandatory,